Comparison of Line Probe Assay (LPA) and Mycobacterium Growth Indicator Tubes (MGIT) Assay for Second-line TB Drug Susceptibility Testing
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Tuberculosis (TB) infection is one of the most prominent health issues in the world, including in Indonesia. TB is evolving into multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) and requiring second-line TB drugs. Mycobacterium growth indicator tube (MGIT) is the gold standard for susceptibility testing of second-line TB drugs. Alternatively, line probe assay (LPA), which detects genes resistant to second-line TB drugs, takes a shorter time to run. This study aims to compare MGIT and LPA’s ability to detect TB resistance to second-line TB drugs and observe mutation patterns of genes encoding second-line TB drugs.

METHODS: This was an observational analytic study, using cross-sectional method. The data were acquired from the MDR-TB clinic’s medical records at the Dr. Hasan Sadikin Hospital from September to December 2019. LPA and MGIT test were conducted at the Health Laboratory Hall of West Java Province, then tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and chi-square statistic.

RESULTS: From 121 subjects, 113 people were not resistant to the second-line TB drugs, which was examined using both LPA and MGIT (93.4%), p=0.991. Mutations were found in gyrA and rrs gene. There was no significant difference between the proportion of subjects resistant to the second-line of TB drugs tested using LPA and MGIT.

CONCLUSION: LPA is an alternative method to MGIT because it requires a shorter time and reduces the risk of exposure that will improve MDR-TB patients management.
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test by directly examining the sputum samples using the line probe assay (LPA). The alternate method only takes approximately 6 hours. The LPA which is a genotypic test will examine the MTB’s \textit{gyrA} and \textit{gyrB} genes to detect fluoroquinolones resistance and \textit{rrs} and \textit{eis} genes to detect aminoglycosides resistance.(4)

The difference between the LPA and MGIT as a phenotypic test results is in MGIT’s inability to detect every gene mutation as resistance. It mainly on account of the silent mutations undetectable in the phenotypic examination. It is a fact that changes in the genetic stage may occur without alteration of every protein.(5) Besides due to silent mutation, the difference of test result may also occur due to the gene mutations in codons/regions outside of the standardized probe in the LPA test. This other type of gene mutation may go undetected as a gene mutation in the MTB infection. However, it is interpreted as a resistance to the second-line TB drugs on the MGIT test.(6) There is not enough study comparing the proportion of second-line TB drugs resistance on LPA and MGIT examinations in Indonesia. This study will compare the proportion of second-line TB drugs resistance on LPA and MGIT tests.

### Methods

This was an observational analytic study which compares the results of two groups of research using cross-sectional method. This study had obtained ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee of Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital (No. LB.02.01/X.6.5/129/2020).

#### Subject Recruitment

The data was obtained from the MDR-TB clinic at the Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, from September to December 2019. The inclusion criteria were the age of MDR-TB patients should be above 18 years, MDR-TB should be diagnosed by GeneXpert at the Dr. Hasan Sadikin Bandung General Hospital, and was not yet treated by the second-line TB drugs.

Subjects who failed the first-line TB drugs had not received any second-line TB drugs; after being diagnosed as resistant to the first-line of TB drugs, they were directly tested using LPA and MGIT at the Health Laboratory Hall of the West Java Province. The comparison of the second-line TB drugs resistance test using the LPA and MGIT was obtained from the West Java Provincial Laboratory within the study period.

### Results

From the medical record of subjects with MDR-TB infection, we included 140 subjects in the study. However, only 121 subjects meet the inclusion criteria. Nineteen subjects were excluded because the result from GeneXpert showed that the MTB were detected to be low and very low (CT value=22-28 and >28, respectively).

#### Sample Characteristics

The subjects’ age range was 30-50 years old, with the median of 38 years old. There were 60 male subjects (49.6%) and 61 female subjects (50.4%). There were 99 subjects (81.8%) who failed the first-line TB drugs therapy, and 22 subjects...
(18.2%) entered the second-line TB drugs therapy without previous history of the first-line TB drugs. The characteristic of the study subjects could be seen in Table 1.

The Comparison between LPA and MGIT on Resistance of Second Line TB Drugs

The comparison of proportion between the resistance to the second-line TB drugs using the LPA and MGIT test could be found in Table 2. It showed no significant difference in the proportion of resistance against the second-line TB drugs using the LPA and MGIT test, considering that $p=0.991$ ($p\geq0.05$). The tests conducted using LPA indicated that there were three subjects with gene mutations who were not resistant to the MGIT test (2.5%). Meanwhile, four issues were identified without gene mutations after the LPA test and simultaneously resistant against the MGIT test (3.3%). Most of the test subjects, 113 people, were non-resistant against both methods (93.4%), $p=0.991$.

Meanwhile, from the 121 research subjects, there was 1 (0.83%) subject with extensively drug-resistance tuberculosis (XDR-TB). This subject was detected as resistant to the fluoroquinolones and the aminoglycosides within the LPA and MGIT test results. The interpretation of gene mutation in LPA is shown in Table 3.

In this study, 113 subjects were not resistant to the second-line TB drugs through both LPA and MGIT tests, while one subject was accounted as resistant in both tests. On the other hand, three subjects were considered resistant in the LPA test, but not resistant in the MGIT test. On the contrary, four subjects were identified as not resistant in the LPA test yet resistant in the MGIT test.

**Discussion**

The LPA test application in diagnosing patients' resistance against TB drugs helps clinicians decide better therapy for the MDR-TB patients since it requires a shorter time. The Ministry of Health of Indonesia issued Technical Guidelines on the MDR-TB Treatment in 2017. It is stated in the guidelines that patients who are not resistant to fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides according to the TB drugs sensitivity test either through phenotypic (MGIT) test or rapid molecular test (LPA), shall be eligible for short-term MDR-TB therapy (9-11 months). Besides, LPA brings a lower risk of exposing MTB to the examination operator during the analytical process since it only examines genetic materials of MTB, compared to the MGIT, which involves exposure to the human serum.(9,10)

In this study, the proportion of results in the second-line TB drugs test using the LPA and MGIT method (3.3% and 4.1%) did not show a significant difference. Similar results are stated by a study conducted in South Africa that the proportion of resistance against Fluoroquinolone in LPA and MGIT tests was 17.7% (16/90) and 10% (9/90), respectively. Meanwhile, the proportion of resistance against kanamycin in LPA and MGIT tests was 5.5% (5/90) and 3.3% (3/90), respectively.(11) Other LPA examination in South Africa used the same tool with previous study, namely the LPA Genotype MTBDRsl version 2.0. The study in South Africa used cultures from patients positively infected with MTB, whereas in this study, the author used a sample directly taken from sputum.(12) Interfering factors in the LPA test are the presence of blood, guaifenesin, mupirocin, and pus in the sputum sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Value (n=121)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years old), median (range)</td>
<td>38.0 (30–50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60 (49.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>61 (50.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of therapy, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed the first line therapy</td>
<td>99 (81.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New patients</td>
<td>22 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n (%) (n=121)</th>
<th>Resistance in LPA</th>
<th>Resistance in MGIT</th>
<th>Resistance in Both LPA and MGIT</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 (3.30%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (4.10%)</td>
<td>1 (0.83%)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$-value was tested with chi-square to find the comparison of positive resistance between the LPA and MGIT test.
MTB strains in South Africa are different from Indonesia; South Africa has East-African Indian MTB strain, while West Java has Beijing MTB, a genotype family.\(^\text{(13)}\) A study in Beijing showed that MTB genotype in Indonesian people played a role as one of the risks leading to therapy failure.\(^\text{(14)}\) This notion is supported by another study, which stated that the MTB with multiple drug resistance has a more significant population in Asia than in South Africa.\(^\text{(15)}\) Despite the interfering factors and different samples, there is no significant difference in the study results between the studies conducted in South Africa and Indonesia.

This study showed that 4 (3.3%) patients who were resistant to the second-line TB drugs in the MGIT test have no gene mutation detected in their LPA test. This might occur due to gene mutation outside of the probe codon in the LPA test. As mentioned above, people in West Java mostly have MTB population from the Beijing genotype family, which \(gyrA\) gene has quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) at codons 74-113.\(^\text{(13,14)}\) The LPA tests in this study were limited to codons 85-97 as the codons with the most mutation. This factor became the main reason the LPA test results show no resistance against the Fluoroquinolone, whereas the MGIT show resistance against the same substance. The gene mutation went undetected by the probe in the LPA test. However, the protein produced due to the gene mutation itself read as resistance against the second-line drugs in the MGIT test.\(^\text{(6)}\) Different from the \(gyrA\) gene, the \(gyrB\) gene in the MTB Beijing strain genotype family has a QRDR at codons 500-540. In this study, there were no research subjects with \(gyrB\) gene mutation in their MTB. The \(gyrB\) gene codons examined in this study were codons 497-502, 536-541. A study in Taiwan also stated that the gene mutations in MTB are more often found in \(gyrA\) gene than in the \(gyrB\) gene.\(^\text{(16)}\)

The \(rrs\) and \(eis\) are the genes creating 16S ribosomal protein codes in MTB. The LPA test detects these genes to examine MTB sensitivity against aminoglycosides. In the Beijing genotype family, these genes have aminoglycoside resistance-determining region (ARDR) at codons 1401, 1402, and 1484. This study conducted the LPA test against the \(rrs\) and \(eis\) genes at codon A1401g, region 1400, g1484t, and region 1484.\(^\text{(17)}\)

From the research subjects, 3 (2.5%) patients showed gene mutation in the LPA test and no resistance against the second-line TB drugs in the MGIT test. Such a result might be caused by a silent mutation. A silent mutation causes a change in one genetic code; however, it does not change the amino acids coded. Therefore, a silent mutation will not change the protein resulting from the coding process. Another study showed that 99.8% of genes with "silent mutation" do not cause a change of amino acids during translation.\(^\text{(18)}\) Moreover, it was also found that a silent mutation in the nucleotide arrangement of the \(gyrB\) gene in MTB during the formation of isoleucine proteins, namely isoleucine (ATC sequence) to isoleucine (ATT sequence).\(^\text{(19)}\) DNA sequencing (pyrosequencing) can be used to detect silent mutation in specific nucleotides.\(^\text{(20)}\) A study proved that high positivity rates of pyrosequencing to detect drug-resistant TB directly from sputum samples with different grades of smear microscopy as the surrogate of bacterial load.\(^\text{(21)}\)
Conclusion

There was no significant difference between patients’ possibility of resistance to the second-line TB drugs tested by LPA and MGIT. Clinicians may acquire LPA test results in a matter of hours, while MGIT must be done in weeks. In conclusion, the LPA may serve as a good alternative to the MGIT because it requires a shorter time and reduces the risk of exposure for the examiner in conducting the susceptibility test against the second-line TB drugs for the MDR-TB patients.
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